1. **CAC Purpose, Agenda and Welcome**
   Administrator Isensee reviewed the purpose of the Citizens Advisory Committee and the agenda for the meeting. Isensee explained the goal of the second CAC meeting is to get to know one another better, tour the watershed virtually, and share priorities and concerns.

   CAC members discussed the following questions in breakout rooms: What do you enjoy the most that involves water and Why?, Who do you hope will benefit most by your being on the CAC and why?, and What do you hope to provide for the watershed district and why?.

2. **Virtual Tour of the Watershed District**
   Administrator Isensee gave an overview of District programs and budget categories. Isensee reviewed the top three categories in the CMSCWD’s budget which include administration, planning, and implementation.

3. **Survey Results Discussion**
   Administrator Isensee gave an overview of the Watershed District shoreline and general survey results. Barb asked the group how the survey results compare to the concerns and opinions of people you know?
   CAC Member Steve responded there may be a possible disconnect with shoreline owners vs. non-shoreline owners. Steve hopes to connect the gap that is created between those who are able to see the water resource vs. those who may not directly see the effects.
   CAC Member Jim responded this is a tough issue to get people to really care about - water resources.
   Steve also noted more information can come from the data.
   CAC Member John G noted survey respondent’s support for enforcement.
   Steve noted flooding or ponding on specific parcels of land.
   Steve recommended we should take a deeper dive on the way people responded to the survey – it is important to look at trends.
   Diane noted the district is a rural area. Residents might not see what is happening outside of the area that they live in.

4. **CAC Priorities and Concerns**
Barb Bickford led the group through the question what makes our watershed great. Pam: proximity private and public land
John G: richness of the waterbodies
Steve: The variety of natural resources; streams, springs, lakes, river- prairies, woodland, Savannah, etc.
Kristin: # of high-quality lakes we have
Jim: proximity to the city
Paul: Pass agrees with all
Scott: great variety of resources - ground water makes it all great
Steve: They understand the resources (to a degree) and appreciate them.
Diane: want to be here - choice
Paul: agrees with Diane but a gap with understanding how to get involved
John G: Genuinely interested - passionate
Kristin: responsible - people who vacation here? do they have the same responsibility

Small groups were asked to formulate a response about what opportunities exist for protecting and improving water resources. Steve, Jim, Diane: Centered around public education and how we get that info out to landowners - could we connect with other partners (associations, realtors, etc..) - sending another survey that is more specific to the survey answers.
Pam, Scott, Bobbie: Public education - communities are built around water - local arts community involved - art that educates and gets people excited about the watershed
John G, Kristin, Paul: Mill stream - great opportunity - recognize and confirm the groundwater quality - enhance the publics knowledge on how projects benefit the resource
Steve: Public education through classes - on Zoom now that people are accustomed to that way of working and learning. We may not have 100% connectively in the watershed but...better than 97 out of 3500!

Small groups discussed how each member would personally like to improve the watershed and/or watershed district. Scott: Increase understanding of groundwater
Steve Dibb: Become a more vocal steward of the watershed resources - especially the area landowners. How to let people know about the great projects that are being done and how it improves their lives and recreation!
Pamela Arnold: Demonstrate that my “projects” (ag land regeneration) demonstrate impact and lack of impacts, improvements, and long-term sustainability.
John G would be willing to act as a citizen advocate on particular issues of keen interest to him..
Diane Rohan is concerned about run off from roads next to water
Kristin Tuenge wants to champion ground water protection.
Mike Isensee: Increased engagement and assistance for landowners. Reassure them that they will not be penalized for talking to the watershed and we are a great resource for information and technical assistance.
Jim Schoeller wants to improve Watershed & District by staying involved and learning more about it and district accomplishments and challenges. Then, share what he has learned with others to hopefully engage them in support.
Steve Dibb agreed with Jim.
5) **Next Meeting Date**
The next Citizens Advisory Committee meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, September 15th.

6) **Adjourn**
Meeting Adjourned at 7:44 p.m.
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